

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/073,972	02/14/2002	Tomokazu Murakami	H-1026	4933
7590 10/13/2006		EXAMINER		
Mattingly, Stanger & Malur, P.C. Suite 370			. JOO, JOSHUA	
1800 Diagonal	Road		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria, VA 22314			2154	
			DATE MAILED: 10/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/073,972	MURAKAMI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Joshua Joo	2154			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05.5	September 2006.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 10-25 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrays 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 10-25 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompanies and applicant may not request that any objection to the	cepted or b) objected to by the				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Double of Informal F				

Art Unit: 2154

## Response to Amendment filed 8/3/2006

1. Claims 10-25 are presented for examination.

# Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/5/2006 has been entered.

#### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 10-25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 10-12, 15-21, and 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abrahams, US Publication #2002/0120934 (Abrahams hereinafter), in view of Arsenault et al, US Patent #6,925,650 (Arsenault hereinafter).

Application/Control Number: 10/073,972

Art Unit: 2154

6. As per claims 10, 17, and 19, Abrahams teaches substantially the invention as claimed including a method and system for providing information across a computer network, Abraham's teachings comprising:

Page 3

an information registering device which includes a positioning device and a sending unit, said positioning device indicating a desired object in said displayed image, and said sending device sending a first identification information containing an object information relevant to said indicated desired object, a first keyword information and a first reference information relevant to said content rendered by media to said computer network (Fig. 3-4; Paragraph 0058-0061. Determine and store program selection information. Paragraph 0047-0048. Information includes keyword, identification and reference.);

an information viewing device that displays an image of content rendered by said media and sends object selection information (Paragraph 0046. Viewer sees object of interest.); and

a database that links said first identification information, said first keyword information and said first reference information received from said information registering device through said computer network, and stores said linked information (Paragraph 0047-0050. Program selection information similar to selection information. Object is identified with identification, keywords, and reference information);

wherein database performs a first matching process to match said first keyword information from said information registering device and object selection from said information viewing device; and sends at least one of said first identification information and said first reference information linked to said first keyword information according to the result of said first matching process to said computer network (Paragraph 0025. Database for storing related content. Paragraph 0049-0050; 0053; 0062. Identify and match selected object. Transmit selection information and related links to viewer.); and

Art Unit: 2154

said information viewing device displays said at least one of said first identification information and said first reference information from said database (Paragraph 0049-0050; 0053. Display selection information and related links.).

- Abrahams teaches substantial features of the claimed invention including program selection information comprising a set of information including "program name" and additional information related to the selection information such as "Sony Walkman", i.e. examples of first keyword information; and Abrahams teaches of a person or producer determining program selection information and identifying objects in a video program (Paragraphs 0059-0060). However, Abrahams does not teach explicitly teach of a first keyword information entered by a user; and an information registering device which displays an image of content rendered by a media. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that a user such as the person or producer taught by Abrahams can enter the first keyword information, i.e. "program name" or "Sony Walkman" in the database in order to match selection information send by a viewer. Furthermore, since a person can identify objects in a video program, i.e. content, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to display an image of the content to identify objects of the video program and determines coordinates for the objects.
- 8. Abrahams does not teach of a second keyword information and matching the first and second keyword information. Arsenault teaches of linking information in a digital broadcast, wherein a first keyword and a second keyword are matched, and information relating to the matching keywords are presented to the user (Col 9, line 66 Col 10, line 14; Col 17, lines 11-31, 48-64; Col 18, line 55-Col 19, line 13).
- 9. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Abrahams and Arsenault because both teachings deal with linking information and providing information according to views' interests in a TV broadcast. Furthermore, the

teachings of Arsenault for matching a first and second keyword and provide information relating to the matching keywords would improve the system of Abrahams by allowing users to describe and identify objects of interest through text.

10. As per claim 18, Abrahams teaches substantially the invention as claimed including a method for providing information across a computer network, Abraham's teaching comprising:

displaying an image of content rendered by a media (Paragraph 0046. Viewer sees object of interest.);

making inquiry a media information regarding to said displayed image of content of said media to an information search device that is connected to said computer network (Paragraph 0046. Select object of interest.);

sending an identification information containing an object information relevant to a desired object indicated from said displayed image, a first keyword information selected in said displayed keyword information and a reference information relevant to said content rendered by media through computer network (Fig. 3-4; Paragraph 0058-0061. Generate and store program selection information. Paragraph 0047-0048. Information includes keyword, identification and reference.);

linking said sent identification information, said first keyword information and said reference information in said information search device (Paragraph 0047-0050. Object is identified with keyword, identification, and reference information);

storing said linked information in information search device (Paragraph 0025; 0048. Database for storing related content.);

searching the stored keyword information corresponding to a object selection information sent from an information terminal in said information search device (Paragraphs 0047-0049; 0053. Identify keyword information related to selected object.);

Art Unit: 2154

providing at least one of said identification information and said reference information linked to the searched keyword information from said information search device to said information terminal through said computer network, when said there is a keyword information corresponding to said second information (Paragraph 0049-0050; 0053. Display selection information and related links.).

- 11. Abrahams teaches substantial features of the claimed invention including program selection information comprising a set of information including "program name" and additional information related to the selection information including "Sony Walkman", i.e. examples of first keyword information; and Abrahams teaches of a person or producer determining program selection information and identifying objects in a video program (Paragraphs 0059-0060). However, Abrahams does not teach explicitly teach of a first keyword information entered by a user. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that a user such as the person or producer taught by Abrahams can enter the first keyword information, i.e. "program name" or "Sony Walkman" in the database in order to match selection information send by a viewer.
- Abrahams does not teach of receiving and displaying a keyword information regarding to said media information searched by said information search; and searching keyword information corresponding to a second keyword information. Arsenault teaches of linking information in a digital broadcast, wherein keyword information is displayed (Col 11, line 64 Col 12, line 11; Col 16, lines 55-65; Col 17, lines 11-31); a first keyword and a second keyword are matched; and information relating to the matching keywords are presented to the viewer (Col 9, line 66 Col 10, line 14; Col 17, lines 11-31, 48-64; Col 18, line 55-Col 19, line13).
- 13. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Abrahams and Arsenault because both teachings deal with linking information and providing information according to views' interests in a TV broadcast. Furthermore, the

teachings of Arsenault to perform the method of Paragraph 12 would improve the system of Abrahams by providing users with predefined keywords for identifying and searching contents of interest, which would improve the user-friendliness of Abrahams' system, and by allowing users to identify content of interest through text.

- 14. As per claims 11 and 20, Abrahams does not teach the system for providing information as recited in claim 10, wherein said database sends a stored keyword list prior to receiving said second keyword information from said information viewing device in response to inquiry made from said information viewing device.
- 15. Arsenault teaches of sending a stored keyword list prior to receiving a second keyword from the viewer in response to inquiry made from the viewer (Col 11, line 64 Col 12, line 11; Col 16, lines 55-65; Col 17, lines 11-31).
- 16. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Abrahams and Arsenault because the teachings of Arsenault to send a stored keyword list prior to receiving a second keyword from the viewer in response to inquiry made from the viewer would improve the system of Abrahams by providing users with predefined keywords for identifying and searching objects, which would improve the user-friendliness of Abrahams and Arsenault's system.
- 17. As per claims 12 and 21, Abrahams teaches the system for providing information as recited in claim 10, wherein said database further receives second identification information from said information viewing device (Paragraph 0046-0047. Object selection.), and said database further performs a second matching process to match said first identification information from said information registering device

and said second identification information from said information viewing, and sends said first reference information linked to said first identification information and said first keyword information according to a result of said second matching process to said information viewing device through said computer network (Fig. 3-4; Paragraph 0049-0050. Provides URL links and keyword, e.g Sony Walkman.).

18. As per claims 15 and 24, Abrahams teaches the system for providing information as recited in claim 10, wherein said database comprises:

a keyword table which has at least an ID field for uniquely identifying a record entry and a keyword field to contain the first keyword, wherein one record entry includes at least its ID and a keyword (Figs. 3-4; Paragraph 0049-0050; 0053. Keyword corresponds to program selection information and related links.);

a reference information table which has at least an ID field for uniquely identifying a record entry, a reference information field containing the first reference information, and a keyword ID field to containing the keyword ID associated with the reference information, wherein one record entry includes at least its ID, reference information, and the associated keyword ID (Paragraph 0049-0050; 0053. Links correspond to keyword objects.);

a target image object table which has at least an ID field for uniquely identifying a record entry, a time and frame field containing information to identify media information, and an link ID field containing the ID of reference information linked with the object, wherein one record entry includes at least its ID, media information, and the ID of reference information linked with the object (Paragraph 0047; 0050; 0053. Selection information comprises time and frame information. Identification information is linked with related links.).

Application/Control Number: 10/073,972

Art Unit: 2154

19. As per claims 16 and 25, Abrahams teaches the system for providing information as recited in claim 10, wherein: said content rendered by media is video image information distributed by TV broadcasting (Paragraph 0025; 0057. TV broadcast.); said first keyword information includes at least any of a keyword, keyword ID number, keyword type, specified time length, time when the keyword was registered, and a number of times the keyword has been selected as user preference (Fig. 3-4; Paragraph 0049. Keyword.); and said reference information includes a URL (Uniform Resource Locator) that designates a Web site/page on the Internet (Paragraphs 0050; 0053. URL.). However, Abrahams does not teach said first identification information further includes at least any of a broadcasting channel over

Page 9

20. Arsenault teaches of identification information comprising of broadcasting channel and time length (Col 8, lines 18-34; Col 15, lines 35-58).

which the content was or will be broadcasted, receiving area, specified time length.

- 21. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Abrahams and Arsenault because the teachings of Arsenault for identification information to comprise of broadcasting channel and time length would improve the system of Abrahams and Arsenault by providing additional information that are related to content of interest, which may help viewers to identify objects in the content.
- 22. Claims 13 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abrahams and Arsenault, in view of Wu et al, US Patent #6,326,982 (Wu hereinafter).
- As per claims 13 and 22, Abraham teaches of sending said first reference information linked to said first identification information and said first keyword information according to a result of said third matching process to said information viewing device through said computer network (Fig. 3-4; Paragraphs 0049-0050.). Abrahams does not teach wherein said database further receives second

reference information from said information viewing device; said database further performs a third matching process to match URLs or comments respectively included in the first and second reference information

- 24. Wu teaches of matching URLs associated with television programming and providing information related to the URLs (Abstract; Col 2, lines 52-65; Col 7, lines 21-40).
- 25. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Abrahams, Arsenault, and Wu because the teachings of the teachings of Wu to match URLs and providing information related to URLS would improve the system of Abrahams and Arsenault by providing alternative methods for viewers to identify and receive information regarding objects of interest, and in this case, accessing predetermined web pages through URL matching.
- 26. Claims 14 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abrahams and Arsenault, in view of Rekimoto, US Patent #6,636,249 (Rekimoto hereinafter).
- As per claims 14 and 23, Abrahams and Arsenault taught the system for providing information as recited in claim 10, wherein said first matching process in said database has a process for determining: whether one keyword from the first keyword information and the other keyword from the second keyword information match or are duplicates (Arsenault: Col 9, line 66 Col 10, line 14; Col 17, lines 11-31, 48-64; Col 18, line 55-Col 19, line13). However, Abrahams and Arsenault do not teach whether time length associated with the first keyword information and time length associated with the second keyword information match or are duplicates in addition to determining whether one keyword from the first keyword information and the other keyword from the second keyword information match or are duplicates; or whether a time when the first keyword information was registered falls within a time length associated with the second keyword information in addition to determining whether one keyword from

the first keyword information and the other keyword from the second keyword information match or are duplicates.

- 28. Rekimoto teaches of searching and matching keywords based on time length from the first and second keyword information (Col 20, line 66 Col 21, line 11).
- 29. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Rekimoto into the system of Abrahams and Arsenault because the teachings of Rekimoto to provide matching keywords based on the keyword and time length would improve the keyword matching of Abraham and Arseanult's system by providing additional matching criteria, which would provide results that are more accurate and specific to viewers' requests.

#### Conclusion

- 30. The following prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - i) Rosin et al, US Publication #2002/0078467, discloses an Internet on-demand system for television programs, and linking objects with information.
  - ii) Papagan et al, US Publication #2002/0059604, discloses a system for linking media content for interactive broadcasts.
- 31. A shortened statutory period for reply to this Office action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action.
- 32. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joshua Joo whose telephone number is 571 272-3966. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday 8AM to 5PM and every other Friday.

Art Unit: 2154

33. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John A. Follansbee can be reached on 571 272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

34. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

October 2, 2006 JJ IN FOLLANSBEE

ON FOLLANSBEE

EQUIDO CENTER 2100